

# GRA 6830 Economic and Organisational Foundations of Strategic Management

[View Online](#)

1

Pettigrew A, Thomas H, Whittington R. Strategic management: the strengths and limitations of a field. In: Handbook of strategy and management. London: : Sage 2002. 3-31.<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/bilibrary/reader.action?docID=1024079&pg=22>

2

Caves RE, Porter ME. From entry barriers to mobility barriers: conjectural decisions and contrived deterrence to new competition. Quarterly Journal of Economics 1977;**91**:241-61.<http://search.ebscohost.com.ezproxy.library.bi.no/login.aspx?direct=true&db=bth&AN=4624170&site=ehost-live>

3

Fiegenbaum, AviHoward, Thomas. STRATEGIC GROUPS AND PERFORMANCE: THE U.S. INSURANCE INDUSTRY, 1970-84. Strategic Management Journal (1986-1998) 1990;**11**.<https://search-proquest-com.ezproxy.library.bi.no/docview/231120501/A3E194841ABF4F57PQ/1?accountid=142923>

4

Briance Mascarenhas. Strategic Group Dynamics. The Academy of Management Journal 1989;**32**:333-52.[http://www.jstor.org.ezproxy.library.bi.no/stable/256365?seq=1#page\\_scan\\_tab\\_contents](http://www.jstor.org.ezproxy.library.bi.no/stable/256365?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents)

5

Pfeffer J, Salancik GR. Social control of organizations. In: The external control of organizations: a resource dependence perspective. Stanford, Calif: : Stanford Business Books 2003. 21-61. <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/bilibrary/reader.action?docID=3037423&pg=75>

6

Casciaro T, Piskorski MJ. Power Imbalance, Mutual Dependence, and Constraint Absorption: A Closer Look at Resource Dependence Theory. Administrative Science Quarterly 2005;**50**:167-99. <http://search.ebscohost.com.ezproxy.library.bi.no/login.aspx?direct=true&db=bth&AN=18476478&site=ehost-live>

7

Hillman AJ, Withers MC, Collins BJ. Resource Dependence Theory: A Review. Journal of Management 2009;**35**:1404-27. doi:10.1177/0149206309343469

8

Barney, Jay. Firm Resources and Sustained Competitive Advantage. Journal of Management ;**17**. <https://search-proquest-com.ezproxy.library.bi.no/docview/215258436?OpenUrlRefId=info:xri/sid:primo&accountid=142923>

9

Peteraf, Margaret A. The cornerstones of competitive advantage: A resource-based. Strategic Management Journal;**14**. <https://search-proquest-com.ezproxy.library.bi.no/docview/224679066?OpenUrlRefId=info:xri/sid:primo&accountid=142923>

10

Helfat CE, Peteraf MA. The dynamic resource-based view: capability lifecycles. Strategic Management Journal 2003;**24**:997-1010. doi:10.1002/smj.332

11

Porter, Michael E. What Is Strategy? Harvard Business Review 1996;**74**  
:61–78.<http://search.ebscohost.com.ezproxy.library.bi.no/login.aspx?direct=true&db=bth&AN=9611187954&site=ehost-live>

12

Stabell Charles B., Fjeldstad Øystein D. Configuring value for competitive advantage: on chains, shops, and networks. Strategic Management Journal 1998;**19**  
:413–37.<http://www.jstor.org.ezproxy.library.bi.no/stable/3094221>

13

Albert D, Kreutzer M, Lechner C. Resolving the Paradox of Interdependency and Strategic Renewal in Activity Systems. Academy of Management Review 2015;**40**  
:210–34.<http://search.ebscohost.com.ezproxy.library.bi.no/login.aspx?direct=true&db=bth&AN=101654709&site=ehost-live>

14

Eisenhardt KM. Agency Theory: An Assessment and Review. Academy of Management Review 1989;**14**:57–74.<http://www.jstor.org.ezproxy.library.bi.no/stable/258191>

15

Williamson, Oliver E.1. Comparative Economic Organization: The Analysis of Discrete Structural Alternatives. Administrative Science Quarterly;**36**  
:269–96.<http://search.ebscohost.com.ezproxy.library.bi.no/login.aspx?direct=true&db=bth&AN=9202170390&site=ehost-live>

16

John K. Masters and Grant Miles. Predicting the Use of External Labor Arrangements: A Test of the Transaction Costs Perspective. The Academy of Management Journal 2002;**45**  
:431–42.[http://www.jstor.org.ezproxy.library.bi.no/stable/3069357?seq=1#page\\_scan\\_tab\\_contents](http://www.jstor.org.ezproxy.library.bi.no/stable/3069357?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents)

17

Levinthal DA, March JG. The myopia of learning. Strategic Management Journal 1993;**14**

:95-112.[http://www.jstor.org.ezproxy.library.bi.no/stable/2486499?seq=1#page\\_scan\\_tab\\_contents](http://www.jstor.org.ezproxy.library.bi.no/stable/2486499?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents)

18

Barnett, William P. The Red Queen in organizational evolution. *Strategic Management Journal*; Chicago;**17**  
. <https://onlinelibrary-wiley-com.ezproxy.library.bi.no/doi/abs/10.1002/smj.4250171010>

19

Henrich R. Greve. A Behavioral Theory of Firm Growth: Sequential Attention to Size and Performance Goals. *The Academy of Management Journal* 2008;**51**  
:476-94.[http://www.jstor.org.ezproxy.library.bi.no/stable/20159522?seq=1#page\\_scan\\_tab\\_contents](http://www.jstor.org.ezproxy.library.bi.no/stable/20159522?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents)

20

Aldrich HE, Ruef M. The Evolutionary Approach. In: *Organizations evolving*. London: : Sage Publications 2006.  
<https://ebookcentral-proquest-com.ezproxy.library.bi.no/lib/bilibrary/reader.action?docID=420908&ppg=32>

21

CARROLL GR, SWAMINATHAN A. The Organizational Ecology of Strategic Groups in the American Brewing Industry from 1975 to 1990. *Industrial and Corporate Change* 1992;**1**  
:65-97.

22

Brüderl J, Schüssler R. Organizational Mortality: The Liabilities of Newness and Adolescence. *Administrative Science Quarterly*;**35**  
:530-47.<http://search.ebscohost.com.ezproxy.library.bi.no/login.aspx?direct=true&db=bth&AN=9102111390&site=ehost-live>

23

Carlile PR. Transferring, Translating, and Transforming: An Integrative Framework for

Managing Knowledge Across Boundaries. *Organization Science* 2004;**15**:555–68.  
doi:10.1287/orsc.1040.0094

24

Grant RM. Toward a knowledge-based theory of the firm. *Strategic Management Journal* 1996;**17**:109–22. doi:10.1002/smj.4250171110

25

McEvily SK, Chakravarthy B. The persistence of knowledge-based advantage: an empirical test for product performance and technological knowledge. *Strategic Management Journal* 2002;**23**:285–305. doi:10.1002/smj.223

26

Scott WR. *Institutions and organizations: ideas, interests, and identities*. 4th ed. Thousand Oaks, Calif: : Sage

27

Dacin MT, Oliver C, Roy J-P. The legitimacy of strategic alliances: an institutional perspective. *Strategic Management Journal* 2007;**28**:169–87. doi:10.1002/smj.577

28

Peng MW, Sun SL, Pinkham B, et al. The Institution-Based View as a Third Leg for a Strategy Tripod. *Academy of Management Perspectives* 2009;**23**:63–81. <http://search.ebscohost.com.ezproxy.library.bi.no/login.aspx?direct=true&db=bth&AN=43479264&site=ehost-live>

29

Powell WW. Neither market nor hierarchy : network forms of organization. *Research in organizational behavior: an annual series of analytical essays and critical reviews* ;:295–336.

30

Gulati Ranjay, Nohria Nitin, Zaheer Akbar. Strategic networks. Strategic Management Journal 2000;**21**:203–15.  
doi:10.1002/(SICI)1097-0266(200003)21:3<203::AID-SMJ102>3.0.CO;2-K

31

Baum Joel A. C., Calabrese Tony, Silverman Brian S. Don't go it alone: alliance network composition and startups' performance in Canadian biotechnology. Strategic Management Journal 2000;**21**:267–94.  
doi:10.1002/(SICI)1097-0266(200003)21:3<267::AID-SMJ89>3.0.CO;2-8

32

Hoskisson RE, Hitt MA, Wan WP, et al. Theory and research in strategic management: Swings of a pendulum. Journal of Management 1999;**25**:417–56.  
doi:10.1177/014920639902500307

33

Dierickx I, Cool K. Asset stock accumulation and sustainability of competitive advantage. Management Science 1989;**35**  
:1504–11.<http://search.ebscohost.com.ezproxy.library.bi.no/login.aspx?direct=true&db=bth&AN=4730021&site=ehost-live>

34

Foss NJ. Knowledge-based Approaches to the Theory of the Firm: Some Critical Comments. Organization Science 1996;**7**  
:470–6.<http://search.ebscohost.com.ezproxy.library.bi.no/login.aspx?direct=true&db=bth&AN=12174980&site=ehost-live>

35

Coase RH. The nature of the firm. In: The Economic nature of the firm: a reader. Cambridge : Cambridge University Press 1986.

36

Sumantra Ghoshal and Peter Moran. Bad for Practice: A Critique of the Transaction Cost Theory. *The Academy of Management Review* 1996;**21**:13-47.[http://www.jstor.org.ezproxy.library.bi.no/stable/258627?seq=1#page\\_scan\\_tab\\_contents](http://www.jstor.org.ezproxy.library.bi.no/stable/258627?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents)